UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,472	09/30/2005	Masahiro Miyauchi	1843.1007	4404
21171 7590 03/13/2007 STAAS & HALSEY LLP SUITE 700			EXAMINER	
			HAN, JASON	
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	.,		2875	
	<del></del>			
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	03/13/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/551,472	MIYAUCHI, MASAHIRO			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jason M. Han	2875			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	J. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•			
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	•				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.  7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>6</u> is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct [11] The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example [12].	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20050930, 20070213.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# **Priority**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

## Specification

2. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

#### Claim Objections

3. Claim 6 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim should refer to other claims in the alternative only. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claim has not been further treated on the merits.

The following claims have been rejected in light of the specification, but rendered the broadest interpretation as stated by the Applicant within the context of the claim language and as construed by the Examiner [MPEP 2111].

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/551,472 Page 3

Art Unit: 2875

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 4. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Matsunaga et al. (U.S. Publication 2002/0142133 A1).
- 5. With regards to Claim 1, Matsunaga discloses a light diffusion plate including:
  - A light transmitting thermoplastic resin [Figure 1: (2)]; and
  - A light diffusing agent [Figure 1: (3)],
  - Wherein the light diffusing agent is contained in an amount of 0.2 to 10 percent by weight with respect to the total weight of the light diffusion plate [Paragraph 30],
  - Wherein a degree of brilliancy of at least one surface of the light diffusion plate is from 20 to 70 percent [Paragraph 12; Table 1].
- 6. With regards to Claim 2, Matsunaga discloses the light diffusing plate including a base material layer and; a coating resin layer formed on at least one surface of the base material layer, wherein the base material layer and the coating resin layer each includes the light transmitting thermoplastic resin and the light diffusing agent [Paragraph 20].
- 7. With regards to Claim 3, Matsunaga discloses an amount of the light diffusing agent contained in the coating resin layer being 1 to 10 percent by weight with respect to a weight of the coating resin [Paragraph 30].
- 8. With regards to Claim 4, Matsunaga discloses the average particle diameter of the light diffusing agent contained in the coating resin layer being 5 to 30 μm [Paragraph 27; Table 1].

Art Unit: 2875

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsunaga et al. (U.S. Publication 2002/0142133 A1).

Matsunaga discloses the claimed invention as cited above. In addition, Matsunaga teaches, "Moreover, although a thickness of a resin coated layer 2 is not especially limited, the thickness is about from 3 to 6  $\mu$ m, and preferably about from 4 to 5  $\mu$ m" [Paragraph 30], but does not specifically teach a thickness of the coating resin layer being 20 to 200  $\mu$ m.

However, it would have been an obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have modified the thickness of the resin coated layer to be between 20 to 200 µm, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Rose*, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955). In this case, increasing the thickness/size of the resin coated layer to be from 20 to 200 µm would provide for an alternate optical effect (e.g., greater diffusion), in hopes of suppressing a regular reflection of outdoor daylight and to prevent a reflection of outside environment (having antiglare property), for the purpose of improving the visibility of pictures on displays

Art Unit: 2875

(e.g., LCD surfaces). Matsunaga corroborates the palpable modification, "a thickness of a resin coated layer 2 is not especially limited" [Paragraph 30].

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason M. Han whose telephone number is (571) 272-2207. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on (571) 272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jason M Han Examiner Art Unit 2875

Technology Center 2800

JMH (3/6/2007)